

CLARK HILL PLLC  
PAOLA M. ARMENI  
Nevada Bar No. 8357  
Email: [parmeni@clarkhill.com](mailto:parmeni@clarkhill.com)  
GIA N. MARINA  
Nevada Bar No. 15276  
Email: [gmarina@clarkhill.com](mailto:gmarina@clarkhill.com)  
3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169  
Tel: (702) 862-8300  
Fax: (702) 778-9709  
Attorneys for Defendant, Anthony Horovitz

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANTHONY HOROVITZ,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:12-cr-00472-JAD-CWH

**[SECOND REQUEST]**

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE DATE TO RESPOND TO  
GOVERNMENT'S MOTION FOR INSTALLMENT PAYMENT ORDER [48]**

**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** by and between Anthony Horovitz, Defendant, by and through his counsel, Paola M. Armeni, Esq., and Gia N. Marina, Esq., of the law firm of Clark Hill, PLLC, and the Plaintiff, United States of America, by and through Jason Frierson, United States Attorney, and Summer A. Johnson, Assistant United States Attorney, to extend the time for the Defendant to respond to Government's Motion for Installment Payment Order [DKT 48], filed on July 7, 2022.

**IT IS HEREBY FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED** that the deadline for filing any response be extended up to and including August 10, 2022.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1           1.       The parties previously stipulated to extend the Response deadline to August 4,  
2       2022.

3           2.       The law firm of Clark Hill PLLC was retained on July 14, 2022. Defense counsel  
4       requested an extension to respond to the Government's Motion in order to fully evaluation the  
5       contents of the Motion and any associated pleadings.

6           3.       Government counsel and Defense counsel have discussed potentially resolving this  
7       matter without the necessity of filing a Response.

8           4.       Government counsel was out of the office until August 2, 2022. Defense counsel  
9       will be out of the office on August 5, 2022.

10          5.       The parties would like the opportunity to try to resolve this matter to the satisfaction  
11       of all parties. Due to scheduling conflicts, Government counsel and Defense counsel have not had  
12       the opportunity to negotiate this matter.

13          6.       For these reasons, the parties agree that the Response to the Motion for Installment  
14       Payment Order will be filed on August 10, 2022.

15          7.       The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay and the  
16       denial of this request for a continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

17          8.       For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by the  
18       continuance of the Response to Motion for Installment Payment Order.

19          9.       This is a second request for a continuance of the response to the Motion for  
20       Installment Payment Order.

21       JASON FRIERSON  
22       UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
23       DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CLARK HILL PLLC

24       DATED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of August, 2022.

DATED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of August, 2022.

25       /s/ Summer A. Johnson  
26       SUMMER A. JOHNSON  
27       Assistant United States Attorney  
28       Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

/s/ Gia N. Marina  
PAOLA M. ARMENI  
GIA N. MARINA  
Attorney for Defendant,  
ANTHONY HOROVITZ

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANTHONY HOROVITZ,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:12-cr-00472-JAD-CWH

**FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION OF LAW AND ORDER**

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds that:

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Based on the fact that counsel has agreed to a continuance, the Court hereby concludes that:

1. The parties previously stipulated to extend the Response deadline to August 4, 2022.
2. The law firm of Clark Hill PLLC was retained on July 14, 2022. Defense counsel requested an extension to respond to the Government's Motion in order to fully evaluation the contents of the Motion and any associated pleadings.
3. Government counsel and Defense counsel have discussed potentially resolving this matter without the necessity of filing a Response.
4. Government counsel was out of the office until August 2, 2022. Defense counsel will be out of the office on August 5, 2022.
5. The parties would like the opportunity to try to resolve this matter to the satisfaction of all parties. Due to scheduling conflicts, Government counsel and Defense counsel have not had the opportunity to negotiate this matter.
6. For these reasons, the parties agree that the Response to the Motion for Installment Payment Order will be filed on August 10, 2022.

7. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay and the denial of this request for a continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

8. For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by the continuance of the Response to Motion for Installment Payment Order.

9. This is a second request for a continuance of the response to the Motion for Installment Payment Order.

## ORDER

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the deadlines for filing of responsive pleading, is hereby due on or before the 10<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2022.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the deadlines for filing a reply is hereby due on or before the 17th day of August, 2022.

**DATED** this 2nd day of August 2022.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  
CASE NO.: 2:12-cr-00472-JAD-CWH